PROOF

STATE OF IOWA

House Journal

TUESDAY, MAY 18, 2021

Produced daily by the State of Iowa during the sessions of the General Assembly. (The official bound copy will be available after a reasonable time upon adjournment.)

JOURNAL OF THE HOUSE

One Hundred Twenty-eighth Calendar Day - Eightieth Session Day

Hall of the House of Representatives Des Moines, Iowa, Tuesday, May 18, 2021

The House met pursuant to adjournment at 9:32 a.m., Wills of Dickinson in the chair.

Prayer was offered by Bush of Cherokee.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Michael Mitchell, Page from West Des Moines.

The Journal of Monday, May 17, 2021, was approved.

The House stood at ease at 9:36 a.m., until the fall of the gavel.

The House resumed session at 2:13 p.m., Wills of Dickinson in the chair.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

The following messages were received from the Senate:

Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform your honorable body that the Senate has on May 18, 2021, amended and passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

<u>House File 708</u>, a bill for an act creating a public safety equipment fund, and including effective date provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, amended and passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

<u>House File 862</u>, a bill for an act relating to and making appropriations to state departments and agencies from the rebuild Iowa infrastructure fund and the technology reinvestment fund, providing for related matters, and including effective date provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, amended and passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

House File 871, a bill for an act making appropriations to the department of cultural affairs, the economic development authority, the Iowa finance authority, the public

employment relations board, the department of workforce development, and the state board of regents and certain regents institutions, and providing for properly related matters, and including contingent effective date provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, refused to concur in the House amendment to the following bill in which the concurrence of the Senate was asked:

<u>Senate File 524</u>, a bill for an act establishing an inpatient psychiatric bed tracking system study committee.

W. CHARLES SMITHSON, Secretary

SENATE AMENDMENT CONSIDERED House Concurred

Dolecheck of Ringgold called up for consideration House File 644, a bill for an act relating to the registration of postsecondary schools with the college student aid commission, and to the postsecondary registration fund under the control of the commission, amended by the Senate, and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment H-1494.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1494}$.

Dolecheck of Ringgold moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 644)

The ayes were, 90:

Andrews	Bennett	Bergan
Bloomingdale	Bohannan	Bossman
Breckenridge	Brink	Brown-Power
Cahill	Cisneros	Cohoon
Dolecheck	Donahue	Fisher
Fry	Gerhold	Gjerde
Graber	Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson
Hansen	Hein	Hite
Hunter	Ingels	Isenhart
Jacoby	Jeneary	Judge
Kerr	Klein	Konfrst
Kurth	Latham	Lohse
Mascher	Maxwell	McClintock
	Bloomingdale Breckenridge Cahill Dolecheck Fry Graber Hansen Hunter Jacoby Kerr Kurth	Bloomingdale Bohannan Breckenridge Brink Cahill Cisneros Dolecheck Donahue Fry Gerhold Graber Grassley, Spkr. Hansen Hein Hunter Ingels Jacoby Jeneary Kerr Klein Kurth Latham

Mitchell McConkey Meyer, A. Meyer, B. Mohr Mommsen Moore Nielsen Nordman Oldson Olson Osmundson Paustian Prichard Running-Marquardt Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Steckman Smith Sorensen Stone Thorup Sunde Thede Thompson Wessel-Kroeschell Westrich Wheeler Wilburn Williams Winckler Windschitl Wolfe Worthan Wills,

Presiding

The nays were, none.

Absent or not voting, 10:

Anderson Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Jones Landon Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title was agreed to.

HOUSE RECEDES

Andrews of Polk called up for consideration <u>Senate File 524</u>, a bill for an act establishing an inpatient psychiatric bed tracking system study committee, amended by the House and moved that the House recede from its amendment.

The motion prevailed and the House recedes.

Andrews of Polk moved that the bill be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (S.F. 524)

The ayes were, 90:

Abdul-Samad Andrews Bergan Bennett Best Bloomingdale Bohannan Bossman Brown-Powers Bradley Breckenridge Brink Bush Cahill Cisneros Cohoon Deyoe Dolecheck Donahue Fisher Forbes Fry Gerhold Gjerde Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson

Hall Hansen Hein Hite Holt Hunter Ingels Isenhart Jacobsen Jacoby Jeneary Judge Konfrst Kaufmann Kerr Klein Kressig Kurth Latham Lohse Lundgren McClintock Mascher Maxwell McConkey Mitchell Meyer, A. Meyer, B. Mohr Mommsen Moore Nielsen Osmundson Nordman Oldson Olson Paustian Prichard Running-Marquardt Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Smith Sorensen Steckman Stone Sunde Thede Thompson Thorup Wessel-Kroeschell Westrich Wheeler Wilburn Windschitl Williams Winckler Wolfe Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, none.

Absent or not voting, 10:

Anderson Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Jones Landon Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title was agreed to.

SENATE AMENDMENTS CONSIDERED House Concurred

Worthan of Buena Vista called up for consideration <u>House File 708</u>, a bill for an act creating a public safety equipment fund, and including effective date provisions, amended by the Senate, and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1512}$.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{\text{H-}1512}$.

Worthan of Buena Vista moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 708)

The ayes were, 90:

Abdul-Samad Andrews Bennett. Bergan BestBloomingdale Bohannan Bossman Bradley Breckenridge Brink Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Bush Cisneros Dolecheck Devoe Donahue Fisher Forbes Fry Gerhold Gjerde Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Gobble Hall Hansen Hite Hein Isenhart Holt Hunter Ingels Jacobsen Jacoby Jeneary Judge Kaufmann Kerr Klein Konfrst Kressig Kurth Latham Lohse McClintock Lundgren Mascher Maxwell McConkey Meyer, A. Meyer, B. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nielsen Nordman Oldson Olson Osmundson Paustian Prichard Running-Marquardt Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Smith Sorensen Steckman Stone Sunde Thede Thompson Thorup Wessel-Kroeschell Westrich Wheeler Wilburn Williams Winckler Windschitl Wolfe Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, 1:

Jones

Absent or not voting, 9:

Anderson Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon

Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title, as amended, was agreed to.

House Concurred

Mommsen of Clinton called up for consideration <u>House File 860</u>, a bill for an act relating to and making appropriations and related statutory changes involving state government entities involved with agriculture, natural resources, and environmental protection, and providing contingent effective date provisions, amended by the Senate, and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment H-1499.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{\text{H-}1499}$.

Mommsen of Clinton moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 860)

The ayes were, 54:

Andrews	Bergan	Best	Bloomingdal
Bossman	Bradley	Brink	Bush
Cisneros	Deyoe	Dolecheck	Fisher
Fry	Gerhold	Gobble	Graber
Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson	Hein	Hite
Holt	Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary
Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein	Latham
Lohse	Lundgren	Maxwell	McClintock
Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr	Mommsen
Moore	Nordman	Osmundson	Paustian
Salmon	Sexton	Shipley	Sieck
Siegrist	Sorensen	Stone	Thompson
Thorup	Westrich	Wheeler	Windschitl
Worthan	Wills,		
	Presiding		

The nays were, 36:

Abdul-Samad	Bennett	Bohannan	Breckenridge
Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon	Donahue
Forbes	Gjerde	Hall	Hansen
Isenhart	Jacoby	Jones	Judge
Konfrst	Kressig	Kurth	Mascher
McConkey	Meyer, B.	Nielsen	Oldson
Olson	Prichard	Running-Marquardt	Smith
Steckman	Sunde	Thede	Wessel-Kroeschell
Wilburn	Williams	Winckler	Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 10:

Anderson	Bacon	Baxter	Boden
Ehlert	Gaines	Hunter	James
Landon	Stand		

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title, as amended, was agreed to.

SPECIAL PRESENTATION

Sorensen of Adair introduced to the House, former legislator, Clel Baudler.

The House rose and expressed its welcome.

House Concurred

Thompson of Greene called up for consideration <u>House File 871</u>, a bill for an act making appropriations to the department of cultural affairs, the economic development authority, the Iowa finance authority, the public employment relations board, the department of workforce development, and the state board of regents and certain regents institutions, and providing for properly related matters, and including contingent effective date provisions, amended by the Senate, and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment H-1513.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment H-1513.

Thompson of Greene moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 871)

The ayes were, 54:

owers
OVVCIV
k
son
n
itl

The nays were, 36:

Breckenridge Abdul-Samad Bennett Bohannan Cohoon Cahill Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Isenhart Judge Jacoby Konfrst Jones Kurth McConkey Kressig Mascher Meyer, B. Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt Shipley Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 10:

Anderson Bacon Baxter Boden
Ehlert Gaines Hunter James
Landon Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title, as amended, was agreed to.

SENATE AMENDMENT CONSIDERED House Concurred

Bossman of Woodbury called up for consideration <u>House File 862</u>, a bill for an act relating to and making appropriations to state departments and agencies from the rebuild Iowa infrastructure fund and the technology reinvestment fund, providing for related matters, and including effective date provisions, amended by the Senate amendment H-1514.

The House stood at ease at 3:03 p.m., until the fall of the gavel.

The House resumed session at 4:41 p.m., Wills of Dickinson in the chair.

Bossman of Woodbury offered amendment $\underline{H-1524}$, to the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1514}$, filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Amendment $\underline{H-1524}$, to the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1514}$, was adopted.

Bossman of Woodbury moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment H-1514, as amended.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1514}$, as amended.

Bossman of Woodbury moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate, further amended and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 862)

The ayes were, 54:

Andrews	Bergan	Best	Bloomingdale
Bossman	Bradley	Brink	Bush
Cisneros	Deyoe	Dolecheck	Fisher
Fry	Gerhold	Gobble	Graber
Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson	Hein	Hite
Holt	Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary
Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein	Latham
Lohse	Lundgren	Maxwell	McClintock
Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr	Mommsen
Moore	Nordman	Osmundson	Paustian
Salmon	Sexton	Sieck	Siegrist
Sorensen	Stone	Sunde	Thompson
Thorup	Westrich	Wheeler	Windschitl
Worthan	Wills,		
	Presiding		

The nays were, 38:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bennett	Bohannan
Breckenridge	Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon
Donahue	Forbes	Gjerde	Hall
Hansen	Hunter	Isenhart	Jacoby
Jones	Judge	Konfrst	Kressig
Kurth	Mascher	McConkey	Meyer, B.
Nielsen	Oldson	Olson	Prichard
Running-Marquardt	Shipley	Smith	Steckman
Thede	Wessel-Kroeschell	Wilburn	Williams
Winckler	Wolfe		

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon	Baxter	Boden	Ehlert
Gaines	James	Landon	Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title, as amended, was agreed to.

IMMEDIATE MESSAGES

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent that the following bills be immediately messaged to the Senate: **House Files 644, 708, 860, 862, 871** and **Senate File 524.**

RULES SUSPENDED

Windschitl of Harrison moved that the rules be suspended for the immediate consideration of <u>House File 893</u>.

Roll call was requested by Prichard of Floyd and Donahue of Linn.

On the question "Shall the rules be suspended?" (H.F. 893)

The ayes were, 54:

Andrews	Bergan	Best	Bloomingdale
Bossman	Bradley	Brink	Bush
Cisneros	Deyoe	Dolecheck	Fisher
Fry	Gerhold	Gobble	Graber
Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson	Hein	Hite
Holt	Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary
Jones	Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein
Latham	Lohse	Lundgren	Maxwell
McClintock	Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr
Mommsen	Moore	Nordman	Osmundson
Paustian	Salmon	Sexton	Sieck
Siegrist	Sorensen	Stone	Thompson
Thorup	Westrich	Wheeler	Windschitl
Worthan	Wills,		
	Presiding		

The nays were, 37:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bennett	Bohannan
Breckenridge	Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon
Donahue	Forbes	Gjerde	Hall
Hansen	Hunter	Isenhart	Jacoby
Judge	Konfrst	Kressig	Kurth
Mascher	McConkey	Meyer, B.	Nielsen
Oldson	Olson	Prichard	Running-Marquardt
Smith	Steckman	Sunde	Thede
Wessel-Kroeschell	Wilburn	Williams	Winckler
Wolfe			

Absent or not voting, 9:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Shipley

Staed

The motion to suspend the rules prevailed.

CONSIDERATION OF BILLS

Appropriations Calendar

House File 893, a bill for an act relating to state taxation and economic development activities, including future tax contingencies, state income tax deductions, tax credits, the state inheritance tax, the sales and use tax, disaster recovery housing, energy infrastructure, telehealth parity, consumer loans, local regulations, and other properly related matters, and including effective date and retroactive applicability provisions, was taken up for consideration.

Hite of Mahaska offered amendment $\underline{H-1511}$ filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Jacoby of Johnson and Smith of Black Hawk.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1511 be adopted?" (H.F. 893)

The ayes were, 54:

Andrews Best Bloomingdale Bergan Bossman Bradley Brink Bush Dolecheck Cisneros Deyoe Fisher Gerhold Gobble Graber Fry Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kaufmann Kerr Klein Maxwell Lohse Lundgren Latham Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Sieck Salmon Sexton Shipley Sorensen Thompson Siegrist Stone Thorup Westrich Wheeler Windschitl Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, 38:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon

Forbes Hall Donahue Gierde Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Kurth Judge Konfrst Kressig McClintock Meyer, B. Mascher McConkey Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Smith Steckman Sunde Running-Marquardt Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Thede Winckler Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1511 was adopted.

SENATE FILE 619 SUBSTITUTED FOR HOUSE FILE 893

Hite of Mahaska asked and received unanimous consent to substitute <u>Senate File 619</u> for <u>House File 893</u>.

Senate File 619, a bill for an act relating to state and local revenue and finance by modifying future tax contingencies, the state inheritance tax, the sales and use tax relating to food banks, the tax on promotional play receipts, the sales and use tax relating to food banks, the tax on promotional play receipts, mental health and disability services funding, school district funding, commercial and industrial property tax replacement payments, providing for housing incentives, providing for other properly related matters, making appropriations, and including effective date, applicability, and retroactive applicability provisions, was taken up for consideration.

Mascher of Johnson offered amendment $\underline{H-1520}$ filed by her from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Mascher of Johnson and Donahue of Linn.

On the question "Shall amendment $\underline{H-1520}$ be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby

JudgeKonfrstKressigKurthMascherMcConkeyMeyer, B.NielsenOldsonOlsonPrichardRunning-Marquardt

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 55:

Andrews Bergan Best Bloomingdale BushBrink Bossman Bradley Dolecheck Fisher CisnerosDeyoe Fry Gerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kaufmann Kerr Klein Maxwell Lundgren Latham Lohse McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Shipley Salmon Sexton Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Stone Wheeler Thompson Thorup Westrich Windschitl Worthan Wills,

Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1520 lost.

Isenhart of Dubuque offered amendment $\underline{H-1521}$ filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Isenhart of Dubuque and Konfrst of Polk.

On the question "Shall amendment <u>H-1521</u> be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Bohannan Anderson Bennett Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Kurth Judge Konfrst Kressig Mascher McConkey Meyer, B. Nielsen

Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede

Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler Wolfe

The nays were, 55:

Andrews Best Bloomingdale Bergan Bossman Bradley Brink Bush Dolecheck Cisneros Deyoe Fisher FryGerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kaufmann Kerr Klein Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Nordman Mommsen Moore Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Sorensen Stone Siegrist Thompson Thorup Westrich Wheeler Windschitl Worthan Wills, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1521 lost.

Oldson of Polk offered amendment $\underline{H-1519}$ filed by her from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Oldson of Polk and Jacoby of Johnson.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1519 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby KurthJudge Konfrst Kressig McConkey Mascher Meyer, B. Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 55:

Andrews Bergan Best Bloomingdale Brink Bush Bossman Bradley Cisneros Deyoe Dolecheck Fisher FryGerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Kaufmann Klein Jones Kerr Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr McClintock Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Stone Westrich Wheeler Thompson Thorup Windschitl Worthan Wills, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1519 lost.

Cahill of Marshall offered amendment $\underline{H-1515}$ filed by her from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Cahill of Marshall and Isenhart of Dubuque.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1515 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Jacoby Hunter Isenhart Judge Konfrst Kressig Kurth Meyer, B. Nielsen Mascher McConkey Oldson Prichard Running-Marquardt Olson

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 55:

Andrews Bergan Best Bloomingdale Bossman Bradley Brink Bush Cisneros Deyoe Dolecheck Fisher

Gerhold Gobble Graber FryGrassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Ingels Holt Jacobsen Jeneary Kaufmann Klein Jones Kerr Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Stone Thompson Thorup Westrich Wheeler Windschitl Wills, Worthan

Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1515 lost.

Steckman of Cerro Gordo offered amendment $\underline{H-1516}$ filed by her from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Steckman of Cerro Gordo and Breckenridge of Jasper.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1516 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 38:

Abdul-Samad Bohannan Anderson Bennett Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Hall Donahue Forbes Gjerde Jacoby Hansen Hunter Isenhart Judge Konfrst Kressig Kurth McConkey Meyer, B. Mascher McClintock Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt Smith Steckman Sunde Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Thede

Winckler Wolfe

The nays were, 54:

Andrews Bergan Best Bloomingdale Bradley Brink Bush Bossman Dolecheck Fisher Cisneros Deyoe Gerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary

Kaufmann Klein Jones Kerr Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell Meyer, A. Mitchell Mommsen Mohr Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Thompson Siegrist Sorensen Stone Thorup Westrich Wheeler Windschitl

Worthan Wills, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1516 lost.

Holt of Crawford in the chair at 5:26 p.m.

Jacoby of Johnson offered amendment $\underline{H-1518}$ filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Jacoby of Johnson and Donahue of Linn.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1518 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Cahill Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Judge Konfrst Kurth Kressig Meyer, B. Mascher McConkey Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 54:

Best Bloomingdale Andrews Bergan Bossman Bradley Brink Bush Cisneros Deyoe Dolecheck Fisher Gerhold Gobble Graber Fry Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Lohse Kaufmann Klein Latham Lundgren Maxwell McClintockMeyer, A.

Mitchell Mohr Moore Mommsen Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Siegrist Sexton Shipley Sieck Sorensen Thompson Thorup Stone Westrich Wheeler Wills Windschitl Worthan Holt,

Presiding

Absent or not voting, 9:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Kerr Landon

Staed

Amendment H-1518 lost.

Breckenridge of Jasper offered amendment $\underline{H-1517}$ filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Breckenridge of Jasper and Gjerde of Linn.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1517 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 37:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Bradley Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Judge Konfrst Kressig Meyer, B. Kurth Mascher McConkey Nielsen Oldson Prichard Running-Marquardt

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

The nays were, 54:

Best Andrews Bergan Bloomingdale Brink Bush Cisneros Bossman Devoe Dolecheck Fisher Fry Gerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kaufmann Latham Kerr Klein Lohse McClintockMeyer, A. Lundgren Maxwell Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Paustian Olson Osmundson Salmon Sexton Sieck Siegrist

Thorup

Windschitl

Sorensen Stone Thompson Westrich Wheeler Wills Worthan Holt,

Presiding

Absent or not voting, 9:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Shipley

Staed

Amendment H-1517 lost.

Bennett of Linn offered amendment $\underline{H-1522}$ filed by her from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Bennett of Linn and Abdul-Samad of Polk.

On the question "Shall amendment H-1522 be adopted?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 38:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bohannan Breckenridge Brown-Powers Cahill Cohoon Forbes Gjerde Hall Donahue Hansen Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Kurth Judge Konfrst Kressig Meyer, B. Mascher McConkey Nielsen

Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt

Shipley Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams

Winckler Wolfe

The nays were, 54:

Best Bloomingdale Andrews Bergan Bradley Brink Bush Bossman Dolecheck Cisneros Deyoe Fisher Fry Gerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hein Hite Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Latham Kaufmann Kerr Klein Lohse Lundgren Maxwell McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Sieck Siegrist Thompson Thorup Sorensen Stone Westrich Wheeler Wills Windschitl Worthan Holt,

Presiding

Absent or not voting, 8:

Bacon Baxter Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

Amendment H-1522 lost.

Isenhart of Dubuque offered amendment $\underline{H-1523}$ filed by him from the floor and moved its adoption.

Roll call was requested by Isenhart of Dubuque and Breckenridge of Jasper.

On the question "Shall amendment <u>H-1523</u> be adopted?" (<u>S.F. 619</u>)

The ayes were, 39:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bloomingdale	Bohannan
Breckenridge	Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon
Donahue	Forbes	Gjerde	Hall
Hansen	Hunter	Isenhart	Jacoby
Judge	Klein	Konfrst	Kressig
Kurth	Mascher	McConkey	Meyer, B.
Nielsen	Oldson	Olson	Prichard
Running-Marquardt	Shipley	Smith	Steckman
Sunde	Thede	Wessel-Kroeschell	Wilburn
XX7:11:	VX7:1-1	VX7 - 1.C -	

Williams Winckler Wolfe

The nays were, 52:

Andrews Best Bossman Bergan Bradley Brink Bush Cisneros Deyoe Dolecheck Fisher Fry Grassley, Spkr. Gerhold Gobble Graber Gustafson Hein Hite Ingels Kaufmann Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kerr Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell Nordman Mohr Mommsen MooreSalmon OsmundsonPaustian Sexton Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Stone WheelerThompson Thorup Westrich Windschitl Wills Worthan Holt, Presiding

Absent or not voting, 9:

Bacon Baxter Bennett Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon

Staed

Amendment H-1523 lost.

Wills of Dickinson in the chair at 5:48 p.m.

Hite of Mahaska moved that the bill be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (S.F. 619)

The ayes were, 64:

Andrews Bacon Bergan Best Blooming daleBradley BreckenridgeBossman Brink Bush Cisneros Deyoe Dolecheck Fisher Forbes Fry Gobble Grassley, Spkr. Gerhold Graber Gustafson Hall Hansen Hein Jacobsen Hite Holt Ingels Jeneary Jones Judge Kaufmann Kerr Klein Latham Lohse Lundgren Maxwell Meyer, A. Mitchell Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Running-Marquardt Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Thompson Sorensen Stone Sunde Williams Thorup Westrich Wheeler Windschitl Wolfe Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, 28:

Abdul-Samad Brown-Powers Anderson Bohannan Cahill Cohoon Donahue Gjerde Hunter Isenhart Jacoby Konfrst Kressig Kurth Mascher McClintock McConkey Meyer, B. Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Smith Steckman Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Winckler

Absent or not voting, 8:

Baxter Bennett Boden Ehlert Gaines James Landon Staed

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title was agreed to.

TIME CERTAIN INVOKED

Windschitl of Harrison moved that a time certain be set for the close of debate and that the House act on the following questions and all amendments filed to the questions no later than 10:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 18, 2021, and then immediately proceed to closing remarks and final passage of <u>House Joint Resolution 5</u> and <u>Senate File 342</u>.

Roll call was requested by Prichard of Floyd and Konfrst of Polk.

On the question "Shall time certain be set?" (H.J.R. 5 and S.F. 342)

The ayes were, 53:

Andrews	Bacon	Bergan	Best
Bloomingdale	Bossman	Brink	Bush
Cisneros	Deyoe	Dolecheck	Fisher
Fry	Gerhold	Gobble	Graber
Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson	Hein	Hite
Holt	Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary
Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein	Latham
Lohse	Lundgren	Maxwell	McClintock
Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr	Mommsen
Moore	Nordman	Osmundson	Paustian
Salmon	Sexton	Sieck	Siegrist
Sorensen	Stone	Thompson	Thorup
Westrich	Wheeler	Windschitl	Worthan
Wills,			
Presiding			

The nays were, 37:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bohannan	Breckenridge
Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon	Donahue
Forbes	Gjerde	Hall	Hansen
Hunter	Isenhart	Jacoby	Judge
Konfrst	Kressig	Kurth	Mascher
McConkey	Meyer, B.	Nielsen	Oldson
Olson	Prichard	Running-Marquardt	Shipley

Smith Steckman Sunde Thede Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Winckler

Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 10:

Baxter Bennett Boden Bradley Ehlert Gaines James Jones Landon Staed

The motion prevailed and time certain was set.

IMMEDIATE MESSAGE

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent that **Senate File 619** be immediately messaged to the Senate.

HOUSE FILE WITHDRAWN

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent to withdraw <u>House File 893</u> from further consideration by the House.

The House stood at ease at 6:27 p.m., until the fall of the gavel.

The House resumed session at 7:38 p.m., Wills of Dickinson in the chair.

SENATE AMENDMENT CONSIDERED House Concurred

Holt of Crawford called up for consideration <u>House Joint</u> <u>Resolution 5</u>, a joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa that the Constitution of the State of Iowa does not recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or require the public funding of abortion, amended by the Senate amendment <u>H</u>_1357.

House Joint Resolution 5, a joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa that the Constitution of the State of Iowa does not recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or require the public funding of abortion.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA:

Section 1. The following amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa is proposed:

Article I of the Constitution of the State of Iowa is amended by adding the following new section:

Sec. 26. To defend and protect unborn children, we the people of the State of Iowa declare that this Constitution does not recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or require the public funding of abortion.

Sec. 2. REFERRAL AND PUBLICATION. The foregoing amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa is referred to the general assembly to be chosen at the next general election for members of the general assembly, and shall be published as provided by law for three consecutive months previous to the date of that election.

EXPLANATION

The inclusion of this explanation does not constitute agreement with the explanation's substance by the members of the general assembly.

This joint resolution proposes an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa specifying that "to defend and protect unborn children, we the people of the State of Iowa declare that this Constitution does not recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or require the public funding of abortion".

The joint resolution, if adopted, would be published and then referred to the next general assembly (90th) for adoption, before being submitted to the electorate for ratification.

Holt of Crawford offered amendment $\underline{H-1525}$, to the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1357}$, filed by him from the floor.

Prichard of Floyd rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker resolved the point of order.

Holt of Crawford moved the adoption of amendment <u>H–1525</u>, to the Senate amendment H–1357.

Roll call was requested by Prichard of Floyd and Donahue of Linn.

On the question "Shall amendment $\underline{H-1525}$, to the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1357}$, be adopted?" ($\underline{H.J.R.5}$)

The ayes were, 53:

Best Andrews Boden Bergan Bossman Bradley Brink Bush Dolecheck Cisneros Deyoe Fisher FryGerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Holt Ingels Gustafson Hite Kaufmann Jacobsen Jeneary Jones Kerr Klein Latham Lohse Lundgren McClintock Meyer, A. Mitchell

Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Westrich Stone Thompson Thorup Wheeler Windschitl Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, 36:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bloomingdale Bohannan Breckenridge **Brown-Powers** Cahill Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gjerde Hall Hansen Hunter Isenhart Judge Konfrst Kurth Kressig Mascher Meyer, B. Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt Smith Wessel-Kroeschell Steckman Sunde Thede

Wilburn Williams Winckler Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 11:

Bacon Baxter Ehlert Gaines
Hein Jacoby James Landon
Maxwell McConkey Staed

Amendment <u>H–1525</u>, to the Senate amendment <u>H–1357</u>, was adopted, placing out of order amendment <u>H–1367</u>, to the Senate amendment <u>H–1357</u>, filed by Bohannan of Johnson on April 7, 2021, amendment <u>H–1368</u>, to the Senate amendment <u>H–1357</u>, filed by Anderson of Polk on April 7, 2021, amendment <u>H–1369</u>, to the Senate amendment <u>H–1357</u>, filed by Sunde of Polk on April 7, 2021 and amendment <u>H–1370</u>, to the Senate amendment <u>H–1357</u>, filed by Wolfe of Clinton on April 7, 2021.

Holt of Crawford moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment H-1357, as amended.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1357}$, as amended.

Holt of Crawford moved that the joint resolution, as amended by the Senate, further amended and concurred in by the House be read a last time now and placed upon its adoption and the joint resolution was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the joint resolution be adopted and agreed to?" $(\underline{H.J.R.\ 5})$

The yeas were, 54:

Bergan Best Andrews Bacon Bradley Brink Boden Bossman Dolecheck Bush Cisneros Deyoe Fisher FryGerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr. Gustafson Hite Holt Ingels Jacobsen Jeneary Kaufmann Klein Jones Kerr Latham Lohse Lundgren McClintock Mitchell Meyer, A. Mohr Mommsen Moore Nordman Osmundson Paustian Salmon Sexton Shipley Sieck Siegrist Sorensen Stone Thompson Thorup Wheeler Windschitl Westrich Worthan Wills, Presiding

The nays were, 38:

Abdul-Samad Anderson Bennett Bloomingdale Bohannan Breckenridge Cahill Brown-Powers Cohoon Donahue Forbes Gierde Hall Hansen Hein Hunter Judge Konfrst Kressig Isenhart Meyer, B. Kurth Mascher Maxwell Nielsen Oldson Olson Prichard Running-Marquardt Smith Steckman Sunde Wessel-Kroeschell Wilburn Williams Thede Winckler Wolfe

Absent or not voting, 8:

Baxter Ehlert Gaines Jacoby James Landon McConkey Staed

The joint resolution having received a constitutional majority was declared to have been adopted and the title as amended, was agreed to by the House.

Hall of Woodbury rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker resolved the point of order.

Prichard of Floyd rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker resolved the point of order.

Hansen of Woodbury rose on a point of order under Rule 10, decorum in debate.

The Speaker resolved the point of order.

IMMEDIATE MESSAGE

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent that **House Joint Resolution 5** be immediately messaged to the Senate.

QUORUM CALL

A non-record roll call was requested to determine that a quorum was present. The vote revealed eighty-three members present, seventeen absent.

SENATE AMENDMENT CONSIDERED House Concurred

Klein of Washington called up for consideration Senate File 342, a bill for an act relating to officer disciplinary actions, amended by the House, further amended by the Senate and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment <u>H-1497</u>, to the House amendment.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment H-1497, to the House amendment.

Klein of Washington moved that the bill, as amended by the House, further amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (S.F. 342)

The ayes were, 56:

Bergan Andrews Best Bacon Bloomingdale Boden Bradley Bossman Breckenridge Brink Bush Cisneros Dolecheck Fisher Devoe Fry Gerhold Gobble Graber Grassley, Spkr.

Gustafson	Hein	Hite	Holt
Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary	Jones
Judge	Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein
Latham	Lundgren	Maxwell	McClintock
Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr	Mommsen
Moore	Nordman	Osmundson	Paustian
Salmon	Sexton	Sieck	Siegrist
Sorensen	Stone	Thompson	Westrich
Wheeler	Windschitl	Worthan	Wills, Presiding
			residing

The nays were, 35:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bennett	Bohannan
Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon	Donahue
Forbes	Gjerde	Hall	Hansen
Hunter	Isenhart	Konfrst	Kressig
Kurth	Lohse	Mascher	Meyer, B.
Nielsen	Oldson	Olson	Prichard
Running-Marquardt	Shipley	Smith	Steckman
Sunde	Thede	Wessel-Kroeschell	Wilburn
Williams	Winckler	Wolfe	

Absent or not voting, 9:

Baxter	Ehlert	Gaines	Jacoby
James	Landon	McConkey	Staed
Thorup			

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title, as amended, was agreed to.

IMMEDIATE MESSAGES

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent that the following bills be immediately messaged to the Senate: <u>Senate File 342</u>.

MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE

The following messages were received from the Senate:

Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform your honorable body that the Senate has on May 18, 2021, passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the Senate was asked:

House File 861, a bill for an act relating to appropriations to the justice system, gambling regulatory fees, and creating a bureau of cyber-crime, establishing a

department of corrections survivor benefits fund, and including effective date and retroactive applicability provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, concurred in the House amendment to the Senate amendment and passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

<u>House File 862</u>, a bill for an act relating to and making appropriations to state departments and agencies from the rebuild Iowa infrastructure fund and the technology reinvestment fund, providing for related matters, and including effective date provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the Senate was asked:

House File 864, a bill for an act relating to appropriations to the judicial branch.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, amended and passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

House File 868, a bill for an act relating to the funding of, the operation of, and appropriation of moneys to the college student aid commission, the department for the blind, the department of education, and the state board of regents, providing for properly related matters, and including effective date and retroactive applicability provisions.

Also: That the Senate has on May 18, 2021, passed the following bill in which the concurrence of the House is asked:

<u>Senate File 584</u>, a bill for an act relating to the use of moneys in the Iowa economic emergency fund, and including effective date provisions.

W. CHARLES SMITHSON, Secretary

The House stood at ease at 9:40 p.m., until the fall of the gavel.

The House resumed session at 10:46 p.m., Hite of Mahaska in the chair.

SENATE MESSAGE CONSIDERED

<u>Senate File 584</u>, by committee on Appropriations, a bill for an act relating to the use of moneys in the Iowa economic emergency fund, and including effective date provisions.

Read first time and referred to committee on **Appropriations**.

SENATE AMENDMENT CONSIDERED House Concurred

Kerr of Louisa called up for consideration $\underline{\text{House File 868}}$, a bill for an act relating to the funding of, the operation of, and appropriation of moneys to the college student aid commission, the department for the blind, the department of education, and the state board of regents, providing for properly related matters, and including effective date and retroactive applicability provisions, amended by the Senate, and moved that the House concur in the Senate amendment $\underline{\text{H-1526}}$.

The motion prevailed and the House concurred in the Senate amendment $\underline{H-1526}$.

Kerr of Louisa moved that the bill, as amended by the Senate and concurred in by the House, be read a last time now and placed upon its passage which motion prevailed and the bill was read a last time.

On the question "Shall the bill pass?" (H.F. 868)

The ayes were, 54:

Andrews	Bacon	Bergan	Best
Bloomingdale	Bossman	Bradley	Brink
Bush	Cisneros	Deyoe	Dolecheck
Fisher	Fry	Gerhold	Gobble
Graber	Grassley, Spkr.	Gustafson	Hein
Holt	Ingels	Jacobsen	Jeneary
Kaufmann	Kerr	Klein	Latham
Lohse	Lundgren	Maxwell	McClintock
Meyer, A.	Mitchell	Mohr	Mommsen
Moore	Nordman	Osmundson	Paustian
Salmon	Sexton	Sieck	Siegrist
Sorensen	Stone	Thompson	Thorup
Westrich	Wheeler	Wills	Windschitl
Worthan	Hite,		
	Presiding		

The nays were, 35:

Abdul-Samad	Anderson	Bennett	Bohannan
Breckenridge	Brown-Powers	Cahill	Cohoon
Donahue	Forbes	Gjerde	Hall
Hansen	Hunter	Isenhart	Jones
Judge	Konfrst	Kressig	Kurth
Mascher	Meyer, B.	Nielsen	Oldson
Olson	Prichard	Running-Marquardt	Smith
Steckman	Sunde	Wessel-Kroeschell	Wilburn
Williams	Winckler	Wolfe	

Absent or not voting, 11:

Baxter	Boden	Ehlert	Gaines
Jacoby	James	Landon	McConkey
Shipley	Staed	Thede	

The bill having received a constitutional majority was declared to have passed the House and the title was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Leave of absence was granted during voting as follows:

Bacon of Story
Boden of Warren
Gaines of Polk
Jacoby of Johnson
James of Dubuque
McConkey of Pottawattamie
Thorup of Marion

Baxter of Hancock
Ehlert of Linn
Jacoby of Johnson
Landon of Polk
Staed of Linn

IMMEDIATE MESSAGE

Windschitl of Harrison asked and received unanimous consent that <u>House File 868</u> be immediately messaged to the Senate.

EXPLANATION OF VOTE

On April 6, 2021, I was necessarily absent during voting in the House chamber. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows:

House File 527—"aye"	<u>House File 561</u> —"aye"
House File 588—"aye"	House File 761—"aye"
House File 846—"aye"	House File 854—"aye"
Senate File 424–"ave"	

Also: On April 7, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

House File 429—"aye"	House File 766—"aye"
Senate File 260—"aye"	Senate File 296—"aye"
Senate File 315—"aye"	Senate File 366—"aye"
Senate File 517–"ave"	

Also: On April 12, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
        House File 311-"aye"
        House File 390-"aye"

        House File 746-"aye"
        House File 775-"aye"

        House File 852-"nay"
        Senate File 185-"aye"

        Senate File 466-"aye"
        Senate File 532-"aye"
```

Also: On April 13, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
House File 844—"aye"House File 853—"aye"House File 855—"aye"House File 857—"aye"Senate File 234—"aye"Senate File 357—"aye"Senate File 554—"nay"Senate File 562—"aye"
```

Also: On April 14, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
<u>House File 865</u>—"aye" <u>House File 866</u>—"aye"
Amendment <u>H–1340</u> (<u>H.F. 698</u>)—"aye"
Amendment <u>H–1346</u> to <u>H–1342</u> (<u>S.F. 342</u>) suspend rules—"nay"
Senate File 342—"aye" <u>Senate File 450</u>—"aye"
```

Also: On April 20, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
House File 196-"aye"House File 228-"aye"House File 493-"aye"House File 869-"aye"Senate File 336-"aye"Senate File 524-"aye"
```

Also: On April 21, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
House File 867-"ave"
```

Also: On May 6, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

```
House File 802—"aye"

Amendment H-1447 (H.F. 860)—"nay" House File 860—"aye"

Amendment H-1444 (H.F. 862)—"nay" Amendment H-1445 (H.F. 862)—"nay"

Amendment H-1446 (H.F. 862)—"nay" House File 862—"aye"

Amendment H-1442 (H.F. 868)—"aye" Amendment H-1443 (H.F. 868)—"aye"

Amendment H-1450 (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment H-1451 (H.F. 868)—"nay"

Amendment H-1452 (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment H-1453 (H.F. 868)—"nay"

Amendment H-1454 (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment H-1455 (H.F. 868)—"nay"
```

```
Amendment <u>H-1456</u> (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1457</u> (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1458</u> (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1459</u> (H.F. 868)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1462</u> (H.F. 868)—"aye" <u>House File 868</u>—"aye" Amendment <u>H-1448</u> (H.F. 871)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1449</u> (H.F. 871)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1460</u> (H.F. 871)—"nay" Amendment <u>H-1461</u> (H.F. 871)—"nay" <u>Senate File 592</u>—"aye"
```

Also: On May 7, 2021, I would have voted as follows:

House File 365—"aye"	<u>House File 384</u> —"aye"
House File 524—"aye"	House File 682—"aye"
Senate File 367—"aye"	Senate File 387—"aye"
Senate File 562—"aye"	Senate File 574—"aye"
Senate File 578—"aye"	Senate File 608—"aye"

Jones of Clay

On May 17, 2021, I was necessarily absent during voting in the House chamber. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows:

```
Amendment H-1463 (H.F. 861)—"aye" Amendment H-1469 (H.F. 861)—"nay"
Amendment H-1471 (H.F. 861)—"nay"
Amendment H-1473 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1476 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1504 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1505 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1506 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1509 (H.F. 861)-"nay"
Amendment H-1510 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"nay"
House File 861—"aye"
Amendment H-1477 (H.F. 864)—"nay" Amendment H-1478 (H.F. 864)—"nay"
Amendment H-1507 (H.F. 864)—"nay"
                                     House File 864—"aye"
Amendment H-1485 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"nay"
Amendment H-1486 to H-1484 (H.F. 891) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1487 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"nay"
Amendment H-1488 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"nay"
Amendment H-1489 to H-1484 (H.F. 891) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1490 to H-1484 (H.F. 891) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1492 to H-1484 (H.F. 891) suspend rules—"nay"
Amendment H-1493 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"nay"
Amendment H-1495 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"nay"
```

```
Amendment <u>H-1496</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (H.F. 891)—"nay" <u>House File 891</u>—"aye"
```

Ingles of Fayette

On May 17, 2021, I was necessarily absent during voting in the House chamber. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows:

```
Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1485} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1486} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}}) suspend rules—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1487} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1489} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1490} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}}) suspend rules—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1492} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}}) suspend rules—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1493} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1495} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" Amendment \underline{\text{H}-1496} to \underline{\text{H}-1484} (\underline{\text{H.F. 891}})—"aye" \underline{\text{House File 891}}—"nay"
```

Jacoby of Johnson

On May 17, 2021, I was necessarily absent during voting in the House chamber. Had I been present, I would have voted as follows:

```
Amendment H-1463 (H.F. 861)—"aye" Amendment H-1469 (H.F. 861)—"aye"
Amendment H-1471 (H.F. 861)—"aye"
Amendment H-1473 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment H-1476 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment H-1504 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment H-1505 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment H-1506 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment H-1509 (H.F. 861)—"aye"
Amendment H-1510 (H.F. 861) suspend rules—"aye"
House File 861—"nay"
Amendment H-1477 (H.F. 864)—"aye" Amendment H-1478 (H.F. 864)—"aye"
Amendment <u>H-1507</u> (<u>H.F. 864</u>)—"aye"
                                        House File 864-"nay"
Amendment H-1485 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"aye"
Amendment H-1486 to H-1484 (H.F. 891) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment <u>H-1487</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (H.F. 891)—"aye"
Amendment H-1488 to H-1484 (H.F. 891)—"ave"
Amendment <u>H-1489</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>) suspend rules—"aye"
Amendment <u>H-1490</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>) suspend rules—"aye"
```

```
Amendment <u>H-1492</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>) suspend rules—"aye" Amendment <u>H-1493</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>)—"aye" Amendment <u>H-1495</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>)—"aye" Amendment <u>H-1496</u> to <u>H-1484</u> (<u>H.F. 891</u>)—"aye" <u>House File 891</u>—"nay"
```

Staed of Linn

RESOLUTION FILED

<u>H.C.R. 11</u>, by Prichard, a concurrent resolution relating to expressing support for the federal Protecting the Right to Organize Act.

Laid over under Rule 25.

AMENDMENTS FILED

<u>H-1511</u>	H.F.	893	Hite of Mahaska
H-1512	H.F.	708	Senate amendment
<u>H-1513</u>	H.F.	871	Senate amendment
H-1514	H.F.	862	Senate amendment
H-1515	S.F.	619	Cahill of Marshall
<u>H-1516</u>	S.F.	619	Steckman of Cerro Gordo
<u>H-1517</u>	S.F.	619	Breckenridge of Jasper
<u>H-1518</u>	S.F.	619	Jacoby of Johnson
<u>H-1519</u>	S.F.	619	Oldson of Polk
H-1520	S.F.	619	Mascher of Johnson
H-1521	S.F.	619	Isenhart of Dubuque
H-1522	S.F.	619	Bennett of Linn
H-1523	S.F.	619	Isenhart of Dubuque
H-1524	H.F.	862	Bossman of Woodbury
H-1525	H.J.R.	<u>5</u>	Holt of Crawford
<u>H-1526</u>	H.F.	868	Senate amendment

On motion by Windschitl of Harrison, the House adjourned at 10.58 p.m., until 10.00 a.m., Wednesday, May 19,2021.